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The Global Internal Audit Common Body of Knowledge (CBOK) is the world’s largest ongoing study of the internal audit profession, including studies of internal audit practitioners and their stakeholders. One of the key components of CBOK 2015 is the global practitioner survey, which provides a comprehensive look at the activities and characteristics of internal auditors worldwide. This project builds on two previous global surveys of internal audit practitioners conducted by The IIA Research Foundation in 2006 (9,366 responses) and 2010 (13,582 responses).

Reports will be released on a monthly basis through July 2016 and can be downloaded free of charge thanks to the generous contributions and support from individuals, professional organizations, IIA chapters, and IIA institutes. More than 25 reports are planned in three formats: 1) core reports, which discuss broad topics, 2) closer looks, which dive deeper into key issues, and 3) fast facts, which focus on a specific region or idea. These reports will explore different aspects of eight knowledge tracks, including technology, risk, talent, and others.

Visit the CBOK Resource Exchange at www.theiia.org/goto/CBOK to download the latest reports as they become available.

**Note:** Global regions are based on World Bank categories. For Europe, fewer than 1% of respondents were from Central Asia. Survey responses were collected from February 2, 2015, to April 1, 2015. The online survey link was distributed via institute email lists, IIA websites, newsletters, and social media. Partially completed surveys were included in analysis as long as the demographic questions were fully completed. In CBOK 2015 reports, specific questions are referenced as Q1, Q2, and so on. A complete list of survey questions can be downloaded from the CBOK Resource Exchange.
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Executive Summary

The most effective chief audit executives (CAEs) position their internal audit departments to add value and inspire business improvement by maximizing the productivity and contribution of their internal audit cohort.

But how do they: Set goals that inspire auditors to deliver insights that matter? Boost productivity with appropriate rewards? Address differences between generations?

This report provides GREAT insights on how CAEs and other audit leaders can improve their practices for evaluating and motivating internal auditors.

You will learn strategies for:

- **Goal Setting**: Align personal goals of internal auditors to internal audit department goals and the organization’s strategies.

- **Retaining Talent**: Retain talent amidst changing needs of internal audit and the business.

- **Equipping Employees**: Build capability and capacity for internal audit overall and individually.

- **Assessing Performance**: Evaluate internal auditors against overall internal audit department performance.

- **Treating Success**: Provide incentives and recognition to motivate internal auditors.

Plus, you will also learn the implications of generational differences among Baby Boomers, Generation X, and Millennials in the internal audit workforce.

Finally, insights are shared from the CBOK 2015 Global Internal Audit Practitioner Survey, the largest ongoing study of internal auditors in the world.
Introduction

This report provides broad insights on how CAEs and other internal audit leaders evaluate and motivate their employees, and how these processes can be improved and are expected to change during the coming years. Leading CAEs recognize that:

- They need to shape a motivated and highly productive internal audit workforce that delivers value to the business.
- Stakeholder expectations for internal audit will continue to evolve.
- The motivation of internal auditors can be influenced by a wide range of factors, including life satisfaction across the course of one’s life, generational differences, and unique gender needs.

Objectives and Benefits

GREAT Ways to Motivate Your Staff provides five strategies for integrated performance management that are tailored specifically for the internal audit profession. Exhibit 1 describes each strategy, its objective, and its benefit.

10 Action Items

Every strategy needs action to go with it, so this report includes 10 action items for you to implement (see exhibit 2). The concepts are scalable and can be consolidated into simpler plans and programs for smaller internal audit departments. In addition, look for opportunities to take advantage of established organization-wide programs that can be aligned with your motivational strategies.

Exhibit 1  GREAT Ways to Motivate Your Staff: Objectives and Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal setting</td>
<td>Align personal goals of internal auditors to internal audit department goals and the organization’s strategies.</td>
<td>Help the organization meet its objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining talent</td>
<td>Identify talent amidst changing needs of internal audit and the business.</td>
<td>Build an effective internal audit team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipping employees</td>
<td>Build capability and capacity for internal audit overall and individually.</td>
<td>Deliver value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing performance</td>
<td>Evaluate internal auditors against overall internal audit department performance.</td>
<td>Drive optimal contribution by the internal audit department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating success</td>
<td>Provide incentives and recognition to motivate internal auditors.</td>
<td>Boost internal audit productivity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s creation.
### Exhibit 2 GREAT Ways to Motivate Your Staff: 10 Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal setting</td>
<td>1. Undertake formal analysis of the organization's strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Align internal auditors' personal goals with the organization's strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining talent</td>
<td>3. Establish a talent management strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Adapt motivation strategies to the different generations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipping employees</td>
<td>5. Establish a comprehensive workforce plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Develop and implement a professional development plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing performance</td>
<td>7. Adopt balanced scorecard reporting (or a similar dashboard).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Implement a constant feedback and learning loop for internal audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating success</td>
<td>9. Establish a rewards system that extends beyond compensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Develop an employee engagement model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's creation.
1 Goal Setting

Align personal goals of internal auditors to internal audit department goals and the organization’s strategies to help the organization achieve its objectives.

Action Items

- Undertake formal analysis of the organization’s strategy. The best way to do this is to establish a stakeholder relationship management program so that you can better understand the business drivers, pressures, and strategies of the organization in establishing the audit plan.
- Align internal auditors’ personal goals with the organization’s strategy. First, ensure there is alignment between the personal performance goals and objectives of internal auditors and the mission, objectives, and audit plan of the internal audit department (which should already be aligned with the strategic direction of the organization). Second, extend this analysis into external research of industry trends, emerging risk issues, and other hot topics, which lead to incorporating suitable topics in the audit plan.

Introduction

Internal auditors play a key role in enhancing and protecting organizational value and helping organizations accomplish their objectives. They are in a much more effective position to help an organization accomplish its objectives when their personal performance goals and objectives align to the mission and objectives of the internal audit department, which in turn is aligned with the strategic direction of the organization. Exhibit 3 shows this relationship.

Resources Used to Establish Audit Plans

The resources (or sources, as some regions would say) used to establish audit plans are varied, and they embrace the core pillars of consultation, analysis, and research.

Most respondents use a risk-based methodology (85%) and through consultation draw in requests from key stakeholders (including management 72%, divisional heads 62%, and audit committees 56%). Through analysis they then reflect back on the previous year’s audit plan (61%). Around 64% of CAEs report that they are undertaking analysis of the organization’s strategy or business objectives.

Exhibit 3 Individual Goals Contributing to Strategic Objectives

Note: The individual goals of internal audit staff should align with internal audit’s mission and objectives. This will ultimately help to achieve the organization’s strategic objectives.
Aligning Internal Audit with the Organization’s Strategy

About 57% of CAEs report that their internal audit department is fully aligned or almost fully aligned with the strategic plan of their organization; the remaining 43% are somewhat, minimally, or not aligned with the strategic plan of their organization (see exhibit 5). Among the seven CBOK regions, Latin America & Caribbean (72%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (69%) report the most aligned. Leading CAEs find that effective stakeholder engagement arrangements help to optimize the alignment between the internal audit plan and the strategic plan of the organization.

Individual Goal Setting for Internal Auditors

Goal setting is a key part of a performance management process that aligns each staff member’s personal goals to departmental and organizational goals and objectives. In

Exhibit 4 Resources Used to Establish Audit Plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Consultation</th>
<th>Other (which could include research)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk-based methodology</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests from management</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of the organization’s strategy or business objectives</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance/regulatory requirements</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations with divisional or business heads</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous year’s audit plan</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests from the audit committee</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultations with external auditors</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests from external auditors</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Q48. What resources do you use to establish your audit plan? Choose all that apply. CAEs only. n = 3,040.
appraised, goals are refreshed, and, where appropriate, performance improvements are identified.

Depending on the organization’s human resources policies, the goals may well be a combination of work-specific outcomes and personal development needs. (Personal development plans are described in more detail in chapter 3, “Equipping Employees.”)

It is very important to have clear documentation of the link between individual goals, departmental goals, and organizational goals. Exhibit 7 shows how you can link balanced scorecard elements, departmental key performance indicators (KPIs), and individual performance for leaders or staff. If you do not use the balanced scorecard process, you can substitute a similar performance dashboard. This process is also discussed further in chapter 4, “Assessing Performance.”

A four-stage performance management process (as illustrated in Exhibit 6), the individual’s performance appraisal considers the outcomes achieved against the goals set at the start of the process. In turn, the appraisal outcome feeds into the internal auditor’s proposed professional development activities and will influence any intrinsic and extrinsic rewards.

The establishment of individual goals is an iterative process between the auditor and the CAE or their delegate. The aim is to identify the specific results that the individual auditor is required to achieve to help the internal audit department (and ultimately the organization) achieve its objectives. In effect, over a typical 12-month cycle, the auditor’s performance goals are discussed and agreed, the auditor takes action to achieve the goals, performance is

Exhibit 5 Internal Audit Aligned with the Strategic Plan of the Organization

Note: Q57: To what extent do you believe your internal audit department is aligned with the strategic plan of your organization? CAEs only. n = 2,756.

Exhibit 6 Typical Elements of a Performance Management Process

Leadership Insight

“Internal auditors can be best positioned as strategic advisors to their executives. To do this, they need to fully understand the business drivers, pressures, and strategies.”

—Frances Cawthra, Chief Finance Officer, Australian Taxation Office, Australia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balanced Scorecard Element</th>
<th>Examples of Departmental Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)</th>
<th>Measure Type</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partnering with the audit committee</td>
<td>Audit committee expectations met</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Yes If directly relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of audit plan complete</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for personal allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting executive and senior management</td>
<td>Client satisfaction goals—value added</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for own audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Client satisfaction goals—usefulness of recommendations</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for own audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycle times (duration period of audits)</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for own audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing internal audit processes</td>
<td>Performance against the internal audit financial budget</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Applies to CAE Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain current internal audit charter, intranet, audit manual</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Applies to CAE Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget to actual audit times</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for own audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conformance with quality assurance and improvement standards (based on internal and external quality assessments)</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes for own audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing internal auditors and their development</td>
<td>Internal auditor workforce satisfaction</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completion of initiatives in professional development plan</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes personally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimizing utilization of internal audit resources (to conduct audits while minimizing “administration”)</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Yes for own team Yes personally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s creation.
Six Key Strategies for Goal Setting

Based on insights from experienced CAEs, here are six key strategies for effective goal setting:

1. Provide sufficient time for audit leaders and auditors to develop and agree upon goals.
2. Establish meaningful goals that are clear, measurable, and achievable.
3. Set goals that are commensurate with an auditor’s capability.
4. Align individual goals to audit department and organizational strategy.
5. Equip auditors with the necessary skills to meet goals.
6. Provide auditors with motivation to meet goals.

Be sure to take each of all six strategies into consideration for each individual. This will help you pave the way for each person to succeed.

Leadership Insight

“In order to have an efficient internal audit department, internal audit team members must have clear goals and personal objectives defined together with the CAE, who has the responsibility to ensure that these goals and objectives are fully aligned with the goals assigned to his department. The addition of personal goals should achieve or exceed the collective goals of the internal audit department.”

—Jean-Marie Pivard, Vice President, Internal Audit and Risk Management, Publicis Group, France

Conclusion

Effective goal setting provides the road map for internal auditors to consistently deliver insights that really matter. CAEs rely on the talent at their disposal to determine insights that add value to their organization and help it achieve its objectives. The next chapter is about retaining talent. It illustrates how individual characteristics make a big difference in how you approach goal setting by exploring generational, life satisfaction, and gender differences. Don’t assume that everyone on your staff can be motivated the same way!
Retaining Talent
Retain the right talent amidst changing needs of internal audit and the business to help build an effective internal audit team.

Action Items
- Establish a talent management strategy that promotes innovation and aligns with stakeholder needs, diversity ideals, audit plans, a competency gap analysis, and succession plans.
- Adapt motivation strategies to the different generations (Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and the upcoming Generation Z).

Introduction
Generational differences affect all elements of workforce management, including recruitment, team building, management, motivation, change management, and optimization of productivity. Effective generational management helps to drive communication strategies, gain employee commitment, minimize employee turnover, reduce misunderstandings, and attract high caliber employees.

As Jean-Marie Pivard, vice president internal audit and risk management at Publicis Group, France, explained, “The way to manage an internal audit function tomorrow will considerably change compared to nowadays as a result of the major changes in the mindset and behavior of new generations.”

In terms of retaining talent, this chapter explores the impact of:
- Generational differences
- Life satisfaction factors
- Internal audit retention patterns
- Gender
- Rotation programs

Motivation Strategies that Recognize Generational Differences
As CAEs pursue creative talent management strategies, they need to recognize the different motivational drivers that exist among the generations.

In the main, there are five generations that make up the bulk of the workforce today and into the near future: Traditionalists (70 to 88 years old), Baby Boomers (51 to 69 years old), Generation X (35 to 50 years old), Millennials, also known as Generation Y (15 to 34 years old), and Generation Z (younger than 15). Traditionalists and Baby Boomers often held “a job for life,” and this provided structural, career path, and staffing stability for CAEs because they had a pool of career auditors. Generation X and Millennials are expected to change jobs or careers more frequently. Finally, the technology-savvy Generation Z will bring a new perspective to the workforce in future years. Exhibit 8 provides a summary of the characteristics commonly associated with these generations.

The differences among generations is a theme throughout this report. You will learn about the generational representation in the internal audit profession, including staff levels (exhibit 10), years of experience (exhibit 11), and intentions to stay in the profession (exhibit 12). In addition, you will learn about generational preferences for learning styles (exhibit 18), feedback loops (exhibit 20), intrinsic rewards (exhibit 21), and employee engagement features (exhibit 22). Collectively, these exhibits provide insights on features that need to be considered in the establishment of strategies that motivate people from different generations.
### Exhibit 8 Generational Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traditionalists</th>
<th>Baby Boomers</th>
<th>Generation X (Gen X)</th>
<th>Millennials (Generation Y)</th>
<th>Generation Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current age (for 2015)</strong></td>
<td>70 to 88</td>
<td>51 to 69</td>
<td>35 to 50</td>
<td>15 to 34</td>
<td>Younger than 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key influences</strong></td>
<td>The Great Depression</td>
<td>Brought up in an abundant, healthy, post-World War economy</td>
<td>Witnessed parents sacrificing greatly for their companies</td>
<td>Raised during the boom times; strong parental support</td>
<td>Born after invention of Internet, so hyper tech-savvy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common values</strong></td>
<td>Working hard</td>
<td>Working efficiently</td>
<td>Eliminating the task</td>
<td>Multitasking</td>
<td>Innovating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacrifice</td>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>Skepticism</td>
<td>Realism</td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respect for authority</td>
<td>Worthy causes</td>
<td>Informality</td>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Social conscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complying with rules</td>
<td>Questioning authority</td>
<td>Self-reliance</td>
<td>Being sociable</td>
<td>Avoiding risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duty before fun</td>
<td>Personal fulfillment</td>
<td>Fun</td>
<td>Lots of fun</td>
<td>Less-developed social skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General traits</strong></td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Team player</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial</td>
<td>Participative</td>
<td>Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unselfish</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Performs well in teams</td>
<td>Technologically intuitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dependable</td>
<td>Values flexibility</td>
<td>Structured and linear</td>
<td>Nonlinear</td>
<td>Global perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seeks job security</td>
<td></td>
<td>Needs structure and guidance</td>
<td>Less-developed critical thinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preferences for recognition</strong></td>
<td>A thank you</td>
<td>Cash equivalents</td>
<td>Skill-development opportunities</td>
<td>Constant and immediate feedback</td>
<td>Lots of periodic awards/rewards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Examples of messages that motivate</strong></td>
<td>Your experience is respected.</td>
<td>You are needed and valued.</td>
<td>Do it your way.</td>
<td>You will work with other bright, creative people.</td>
<td>You will have advancement opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The content of this exhibit is intended to provide illustrative examples of general patterns and reflects just a small proportion of reported characteristics. It was developed by the author from a range of Internet searches, and refined during consultation with global business, finance, and audit leaders. The age ranges and descriptors are indicative only and vary in different publications.*
Generational Impacts on Internal Audit

Generation X makes up half of the internal audit profession worldwide. Another 3 out of 10 are Millennials, and 2 out of 10 are Baby Boomers (see exhibit 9). The generational breakout by staff level shows that management is clearly weighted toward Generation X. Those below management level are fairly evenly split between Generation X and the Millennials, with slightly more Millennials as they are starting their careers (see exhibit 10).

Planning for Baby Boomer Retirement

CAEs need to remain abreast of the retirement plans of Baby Boomers. According to the CBOK practitioner survey, 24% of them expect to retire in the next five years (Q36, n = 11,107). Retirement of this group of employees will result in the loss of well-tuned communication skills and significant workplace experience. As exhibit 11 shows, 42% of Baby Boomers have more than 15 years of audit experience, compared to only 18% of Generation X.

When Baby Boomers retire, CAEs will also lose some of their internal audit function’s knowledge base. Therefore, CAEs should leverage the experience, loyalty, and credibility of Baby Boomers by asking them to train newer staff. Some Baby Boomers may also welcome the chance to support such programs post-retirement. In addition, when a staff member is near retirement, CAEs should take steps to

Exhibit 9 Generations Among Internal Auditors Surveyed


Exhibit 10 Generation Compared to Staff Level

Note: Q9: What is your position as an internal auditor in your organization? Due to rounding, some totals may not equal 100%. n = 11,241.

Exhibit 11 Years of Experience Compared to Generation

Note: Q3: What is your age? compared to Q10: Approximately how many years of professional experience do you have as an internal auditor? n = 11,607.
Preparing for Generation Z to Enter the Workforce

Progressive leaders will already be considering the unique needs of the technology-savvy Generation Z entering the workforce in the near future. This generation of digital natives has grown up with information at their fingertips. They are adept at sourcing, deciphering, and sharing information from across the world. They are likely to be attracted by education and training programs. Once in the workforce, they are likely to prefer more personal forms of communication such as employee profiles and stories.

Life Satisfaction Factors

Another way to look at different factors affecting the workforce is to consider differences in life satisfaction among various age groups. An Australian government report notes that a person’s life satisfaction declines from the age of 15 to the mid-30s, then stabilizes at a lower level for the next 15 years, before beginning to improve from the early-50s until the late-60s.*

The report outlines the changes that are affected through seven major life events: leaving the parental home; marrying or moving in with a partner; childbirth; separation from a spouse or live-in relationship; becoming empty nesters; retirement; and widowhood. CAEs need to be cognizant of life-course transitions of their internal audit cohort when developing their talent management strategy.

Leadership Insight

“I hope audit leaders, and those looking to become leaders, will invest in learning about the power of diversity and unconscious bias. Doing so will help their audit team (and our profession) provide greater solutions for our stakeholders.”

—Larry Harrington, Vice President Internal Audit, Raytheon Company USA, Chairman of The IIA Global Board

Grooming Millennials for Leadership

Generation X has been assuming internal audit leadership roles as Baby Boomers shift into other roles or retire. About 57% of CAEs are now from Generation X, as illustrated in exhibit 10.

Millennials currently hold nearly half of all the internal audit staff roles and a small proportion of CAE roles (9%). As generational changes take effect, Millennials will move into management positions, so CAEs are investing in the leadership development of Millennials.

In addition to established training programs, CAEs are looking to innovative solutions that cultivate insightful, proactive, and future-focused leadership thinking. Examples of these solutions are:

- Having Millennials lead lower risk audit engagements
- Allowing them to attend important meetings (as observers) with senior management and audit committees
- Sending them into the business on short-term secondments (when an employee temporarily transfers to another job for a defined period of time for a specific purpose to the mutual benefit of all parties)
- Using a frontline connections program where they spend several hours a month observing frontline operatives and/or leaders
- Having them connect with internal audit alumni for periodic informal interactions
- Offering mentoring or coaching opportunities

Retention within the Internal Audit Profession

As we think about retaining talent, it is important to look at whether current internal auditors plan to stay in the profession. The CBOK 2015 practitioner survey asked, “In the next five years, what are your career plans related to internal auditing?” Respondents could choose from: “Stay in the internal audit profession,” “Leave the internal audit profession,” “I don’t know,” and “Retire.” Exhibit 12 shows:

- The rate of retention between Millennials and Generation X is remarkably similar as a global average. This is counterintuitive to the assumption that the younger generation may be less committed to the profession.
- Baby Boomers are more likely to stay in the profession than the younger generations (only about 1 out 10 say they plan to leave the profession or are unsure). Another 24% of Baby Boomers say they will retire in the next five years.
- Traditionalists are largely retiring (81%).
- On average worldwide, 75% say they plan to stay in the profession (see green bars).
- Another 20% of respondents say they either plan to leave the profession (see red bars) or are unsure (see gold bars).
- About 5% say they plan to retire (see gray bars).

Country-Specific Differences

Retention patterns can vary quite a bit from country to country. For example, in Europe, France has the lowest percentage who plan to stay in the profession (52%). This stands in contrast to some European countries where nearly 100% of survey respondents plan to stay in the profession (Ukraine, Bosnia, Cyprus, Slovakia, and Moldova). Regarding France, Jean-Marie Pivard explained: “Most of the internal auditors [in France] do not want to stay in the internal audit function more than three years. Internal audit is considered as an entrance point in a Group (after three to five years in a Big Four) and after two or three years of internal audit, they want to move to operational functions.”

Exhibit 12 Internal Audit Retention Compared to Generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Stay in the internal audit profession</th>
<th>Leave the internal audit profession</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
<th>Retire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millennials (ages 19-34)</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation X (ages 35-50)</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby Boomers (ages 51-69)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditionalists (70 or older)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td>81%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Q36: In the next five years, what are your career plans related to internal auditing? Due to rounding, some totals may not equal 100%. n = 11,107.
The specific motivators of women in the workforce will need to be recognized as women are being developed to undertake more senior roles. Factors such as work/life balance, workplace stability, flexible working arrangements, and working from home are especially important for working mothers.

Financial institutions, service provider firms, and other leading organizations are increasingly promoting gender equality in their workplaces. The aim is to support the equal participation of females and males at all levels of the workplace. Current trends include disclosure of like-for-like and organizationwide gender pay gaps; enhanced caring provisions for males, including paid parental leave; strategic focus on flexibility; targeted approach to succession and recruitment for critical roles; and enhanced efforts to shift organizational culture toward outcomes-based working rather than hours spent at the desk.

Males continue to hold the highest proportion of leadership roles, representing 69% of CAEs and 67% of director or senior manager roles. In contrast, men make up 56% of staff level roles (Q9, \(n = 12,579\)). Between

The Closing Gender Gap

Talent management should include a consideration of the growing ratio of women in the profession. Among survey respondents, the gender gap has been closing in all but two regions, as reflected in exhibit 13. However, this does not mean that women are represented in the profession at the same level worldwide.

- In South Asia and the Middle East & North Africa, women make up less than 20% of respondents.
- In North America, the split is nearly 50/50.
- The change in female respondents in East Asia & Pacific is particularly interesting. It is mainly due to China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong having more survey participation in 2015 compared to the 2010 practitioner survey. China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong averaged 53% female participation, compared to countries such as Japan and Korea, which averaged 7% female participation.

Exhibit 13 Female Representation by Region (Comparing 2010 to 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Q4: What is your gender? of the 2015 survey, \(n = 14,158\). Compared to Q5 (Q2b) of the 2010 survey. Your gender: by Q56 [Q1c] grouped into CBOK 2015 regions. Please select the location in which you primarily practice professionally (work). \(n = 13,422\).
Rotation Programs Through Internal Audit

One well-recognized but underused approach to talent management is rotation of employees through internal audit. The CBOK practitioner survey asked respondents: Does your organization have a process in place to rotate staff through the internal audit department as part of training them for management in other parts of the organization? The results (as shown in exhibit 14) were:

- Two-thirds of organizations (66%) do not have a rotation process in place.
- 22% of organizations have an informal process.
- Just 12% have a formal process.
- South Asia is the most involved in rotation programs, with 70% saying they have formal or informal programs.

Exhibit 14 Internal Audit Used as Training Ground for Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes, an informal process</th>
<th>Yes, a formal process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East &amp; North Africa</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia &amp; Pacific</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Q35: Does your organization have a process in place to rotate staff through the internal audit department as part of training them for management in other parts of the organization? Due to rounding, some totals may not equal 100%. \( n = 11,240. \)
2. A significant number of internal auditors are professionally qualified and hence can make career moves outside internal audit.

3. Management encourages rotation to use the talent pool.

NG Shankar, senior president corporate audit of the $US41 billion Aditya Birla Group, further elaborated on the reasons that management in India encourages rotation through the internal audit function:

“Large organizations in India believed that as internal audit functions evolved, auditors were seen to be future business leaders; therefore, one of the requirements was a rotational policy in 3 to 5 years’ timeframe, like we have. It was also felt that it would be good for auditors to have prior business exposure in fields other than accounting and finance. Therefore, we have some with engineering or IT profiles joining us from group companies or externally from functions such as operations, projects, or engineering maintenance. After 3 to 5 years, they are also able to get back into an enhanced role in business. Career auditors are provided larger roles within our function, which is possible in a diversified, multi-company group like ours.”

As NG Shankar explained, his organization has enjoyed multiple benefits related to its rotation program. Benefits of a rotation program include:

- Enhances the value of internal audit by drawing practical insights from business experts into complex audits, and enhances internal audit’s credibility when technically strong outputs are delivered.
- Improves the intellectual capital and expertise of the internal audit department overall.
- Helps business experts to boost their understanding of corporate governance, risk management, and internal audit.
- Draws fresh talent into the internal audit department and potentially helps to replenish the talent pool for the business as a whole in the longer term.
- Helps to attract and retain excellent employees.

**Conclusion**

Retaining talent in your internal audit function creates a strong base for meeting internal audit's goals. At the same time, business risks are constantly changing, so the skills needed to undertake audits also need to evolve. The next chapter outlines the fundamentals of workforce and professional development planning and how the planning can be tailored to accommodate internal auditors across the generations. Don't underestimate the importance of the continued investment in internal auditors at a time of constant change!

**Leadership Insight**

“Organizations are like living organisms, always changing and evolving. So it is with internal audit functions, which must adapt to the changing risks and organizational imperatives around them. Establishing a culture that recognizes and harnesses talent is one of the best ways to respond to these ever-changing needs.”

—Dr. Ian Peters, Chief Executive, Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors, UK and Ireland
Equipping Employees

Build capability and capacity for internal audit overall and individually to help deliver value.

**Action Items**

- Establish a comprehensive workforce plan for the internal audit department.
- Develop and implement a professional development plan for the internal audit department that includes key development opportunities for the department and individual auditors.

**Introduction**

To be effective, CAEs must embrace continuous improvement and develop internal auditors that are insightful, proactive, and future-focused. This starts with establishing a comprehensive workforce plan, which in turn leads to a professional development plan for each member of the internal audit function.

**A Comprehensive Workforce Plan**

A comprehensive workforce plan for the internal audit department should incorporate:

- An internal audit strategic competency plan and associated competency process.
- A three-pillar approach for filling competency gaps through buy, build, and retain.
- An internal audit capability model tailored specifically for your organization.

The common stages of competency planning are illustrated in exhibit 15. As shown, the final stage of competency planning is to develop an action plan to fill the competency gaps that are discovered.

**Exhibit 15  Competency Planning**

- Establish the vision and desired capability level of the internal audit department.
- Develop a strategic competency plan.
- Identify existing competencies.
- Identify competency gaps.
- Develop an action plan to fill the gaps encompassing buy, build, retain people strategies.

Source: Modified excerpt from The IIA’s Practice Guide, Creating an Internal Audit Competency Process (IACP) for the Public Sector, November 2014.
Buy, Build, and Retain

One of the best strategies for filling competency gaps is the “buy, build, retain” approach illustrated in exhibit 16.

Exhibit 16 Three-Pillar Solution to Filling Competency Gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buy</th>
<th>Build</th>
<th>Retain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruit selectively to achieve a well-balanced audit team.</td>
<td>Maintain a structured professional development plan.</td>
<td>Nurture a professional learning environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Modified excerpt from The IIA’s Practice Guide, Creating an Internal Audit Competency Process (IACP) for the Public Sector, November 2014.

In this plan, you look at the competencies needed and ask yourself:

- What do you want to **buy** either for technical skill or to support an agile workforce to meet peak demands?

Exhibit 17 Five Key Steps for Establishing a Professional Development Plan

1. Review what’s happening now, including gaps identified in strategic competency plan.
2. Decide on internal audit’s vision, goals, audit plan, and the skills that need to be developed.
3. Decide how to develop these skills and incorporate in a professional development plan.
4. Implement the professional development plan and periodically report the results to the audit committee.
5. Keep going!

Think through the changing environment; update professional development plan periodically.

Source: Author’s creation.

In addition, the comprehensive workforce plan needs to be built on an internal audit capability model tailored specifically for your organization. Some excellent resources for this are referenced in appendix B, including materials on capability models, competency framework, and competency process.

The Professional Development Plan

The establishment of a professional development plan is a strategic driver that can be presented to the board and audit committee to support the audit department’s investment in this area. This is especially important at a time where many organizations across the world seem focused on trimming their discretionary expenditure in areas such as training and development.

The steps for establishing a professional development plan are illustrated in exhibit 17.
**Development Plan Components**

The professional development plan should include training programs and address other developmental needs, combining both the high-level development needs for the whole department together with significant personal development needs of individual auditors. For instance:

- **High-level** – Delivering departmental training to all internal auditors in soft controls analysis and skills for assessing the corporate culture.
- **Individual** – Addressing an operational auditor’s personal development needs through support for achieving the certified internal auditor (CIA) designation or other recognized certification.
- **Individual (IT specialist)** – Addressing an IT auditor’s personal development needs through cybersecurity risk training.

---

**Leadership Insight**

“Financial support or other support for professional development (such as earning a degree or a certification) lets employees know that they are valued and seen as important to achieving team and organizational goals.”

—Dr. Gary Pflugrath, Director Public Policy and Regulation, International Federation of Accountants, New York

---

**Tailoring the Approach to the Generation**

CAEs need to be flexible in tailoring their formal and on-the-job learning delivery approaches for the respective generational audiences. While a traditional classroom style is preferred by Traditionalists and Baby Boomers, Generation X is more likely to embrace a self-directed, self-paced approach, whereas Millennials prefer the integration of technology and media into learning, such as webinars. **Exhibit 18** provides examples of generation-specific learning styles. The learning styles of Generation Z will become clearer as they progressively join the workforce.

**Exhibit 18 Learning Styles for Different Generations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditionalists</th>
<th>Baby Boomers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Informational learning style</td>
<td>● Transformational learning style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Traditional classroom setting using mainly lectures</td>
<td>● Traditional classroom learning extended to learning through participation, critical reflection, reflection and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Task specific</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● One-on-one coaching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Excellent at mentoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation X</th>
<th>Millennials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Self-directed or self-paced</td>
<td>● Informal, incidental learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Highly receptive to e-learning series of structured lectures</td>
<td>● Requires integration of technology and media in learning (webinars, social networking sites, avatars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Requires integration of technology and media in learning</td>
<td>● Personalized learning through customized environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Wants easy access to the information and industry procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristics of Current Training Programs

The CBOK 2015 practitioner survey asked CAEs the following question: What is included in the training program for internal audit? Respondents could choose as many options as they liked. Worldwide, CAEs say their programs include internal audit skills (68%), orientation (54%), business knowledge (53%), critical thinking (30%) and leadership skills (27%) (Q46, \( n = 3,099 \)).

The survey also asked CAEs: What is the level of formalization for the training program for internal audit at your organization? Larger internal audit departments are more likely to have structured and documented training programs: 74% of departments with more than 50 employees have such programs, compared to 45% of those with 4 to 9 employees (Q45, \( n = 2,820 \)).

There is a view among CAEs interviewed that training programs with their existing core features will remain, but will become a subset of professional development plans, which have a broader strategic focus and encompass non-training development initiatives.

Conclusion

Once the fundamentals of goal setting, talent retention, and development have been set, the internal audit function needs to have mechanisms that provide meaningful performance feedback. The next chapter is about assessing performance. It reflects on the importance of inter-linked strategies for assessing departmental and individual performance and includes examples that draw on balanced scorecard concepts. The chapter reflects on how to blend the traditional formal assessment processes with more immediate feedback loops that appeal to younger generations. There are significant differences in performance feedback preferences between the generations!

Leadership Insight

“The individual development of each employee should be sought with great energy since the overall capacity of an internal audit department is the sum of all its employees.”

—Cesar Santos Brunetto, Internal Audit Specialist, Lojas Renner S.A., Porto Alegre, Brazil
Assessing Performance

Evaluate internal auditors against overall internal audit department performance to drive optimal contribution by the internal audit department.

Action Items

☐ Adopt balanced scorecard reporting (or something similar, such as a performance dashboard) and ensure that this is optimally linked to the performance assessment of individual internal auditors.

☐ Implement a constant feedback and learning loop process for internal audit that complements the organizationwide formal performance assessments.

Introduction

A performance culture is driven by having absolute clarity of purpose of what your organization is trying to achieve, coupled with the steps that internal auditors establish to perform in line with that. A firm foundation is provided when there is effective goal setting, and where the personal goals of internal auditors are aligned to internal audit department goals and the organization’s strategies. The next step, then, is to assess performance.

Measuring the Performance of an Internal Audit Function

Measures for internal audit performance can be thought of as inward-focused or outward-focused. The inward-focused measures tend to look at how work is done within the internal audit function. Outward-focused measures look toward customer satisfaction with the quality of work performed and outcomes. As shown in exhibit 19, the most common measures were inward-focused (see the green bars), but about a third of respondents also use client satisfaction goals and fulfillment of stakeholder expectations (outward-focused measures).

As the internal audit profession continues to evolve, performance evaluation techniques need to embrace more outward-focused measures that reflect how well the audit effort has added value and helped to improve the organization’s operations (as is the aspiration in the definition of internal auditing). (For more on this topic, see the CBOK 2015 report titled Delivering on the Promise: Measuring Internal Audit Value and Performance by Jane Seago, available at www.theiia.org/goto/CBOK.)

Assessing Individual Performance

Structured formal performance assessment processes typically involve three key face-to-face stages over a one-year span. The first stage involves goal setting, followed by a formal mid-term review after six months, and a further final formal review after a further six months. In some parts of the world, this is a two-step process rather than a three-step process (there is no mid-term review), and CAEs strive to provide more frequent feedback in between the formal meetings.

In many parts of the world, the formal performance assessment process typically covers the internal auditor’s competencies (for example, value-added, client-focused services; communication skills; technical competencies; knowledge of the business; outreach activities; and leadership characteristics), the delivery against performance goals, and their continued professional development needs (for example, on-the-job-training, specific training for specific needs, and preparation for CIA or other certification exams).
New employees are usually provided regular feedback throughout their probationary period, which can span periods of three, six, or 12 months, depending on the organization’s recruitment policies.

Leading CAEs are making better use of technology to automate performance assessment processes to increase transparency and efficiency around the process. Many are also embracing self-assessment tools.

Balanced Scorecard Reporting
The adoption of balanced scorecard reporting is a well-established tool for structuring quantitative and qualitative performance measures and reporting the results to the audit committee in a balanced way. In the CBOK 2015 practitioner survey, it was used by 26% of CAEs (up from 4% in 2010). The KPIs in the balanced scorecard will almost always align to individual performance measures. Please refer back to chapter 1, exhibit 7, for an example of balanced scorecard KPIs aligned to individual performance measures. Smaller internal audit departments do not need a complex or highly technical system to help provide the data for populating the balanced scorecard report, as many of these measures are currently or readily tracked.

Exhibit 19 Measures Used for Internal Audit Performance (CAEs Choosing All That Apply)

Note: Q90: What specific measures does your organization use to evaluate the performance of its internal audit activity? (Choose all that apply.) CAEs only, n = 2,641.
Blended Feedback Mechanisms

Internal auditors these days are seeking more immediate feedback and development support (see the recognition preferences for Generation X and Millennials in chapter 2, exhibit 8.) Keeping generational differences in mind, leading CAEs are embracing a blended approach that retains the well-established formal assessment process, while establishing complementary processes that provide a more constant feedback and learning loop. Exhibit 20 lists eight learning loops and describes how they can be put into practice. There are many options for increasing the frequency of feedback to your staff.

Conclusion

With your feedback loops in place, the final step in motivation is to reward work well done. As the next chapter describes, a combination of extrinsic and intrinsic rewards will be most effective in boosting your staff productivity.

Leadership Insight

“We operate on a once-a-year performance assessment program here at Keyera, with the past year assessed and new-year goals set at calendar year-end. However, I actually perform formal quarterly performance reviews where we build the final assessment quarter-over-quarter. My Millennial helper loves the frequent feedback, and it makes life easier for me as I find it easier to remember what has been going on as the year progresses rather than trying to remember what occurred during a busy year at the end. Doing it this way also removes the stress and time constraints I see in other groups that follow the annual approach.”

—Glen Howard, Head of Internal Audit at Keyera, one of the largest midstream oil and gas operators in Canada
Exhibit 20 Examples of Complementary Constant Feedback and Learning Loop Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Learning Loop</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement feedback</td>
<td>Feedback from team leaders after every audit engagement covering output/outcomes, overall results, behaviors, and future training needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career mapping</td>
<td>An active career mapping conversation leveraging the resources of IIA Global Career Map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360° profiling</td>
<td>Periodic assessment by management, peers, and subordinates through 360° profiling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fireside chats</td>
<td>The fireside chat approach focuses conversations on the professional development of internal auditors. These conversations are about 15 minutes in duration and kick off with a climate review chat (what is now and what could be) followed by further chats covering strength and talents, opportunities for growth, learning and development needs, and innovation and continuous improvement opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skip-level interviews</td>
<td>Annual skip-level interviews conducted by the CAE for all indirect reports, which provide a sense of the pulse of the internal audit department. These help the CAE to identify potential leadership talent across the department and what drives them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional training targets</td>
<td>Establishment of a professional training target of five to 10 days each year where continuing professional education credits are awarded. For instance, guest facilitators can be utilized from other areas of the business or externally (at little to no cost) to present a one-hour lunchtime seminar on relevant business areas (e.g., business strategy, emerging industry and audit hotspots, technical training, communications skills, new computer systems, and data analytics).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaches and mentors</td>
<td>Assigning coaches and mentors provides a self-evaluation approach for auditors and helps them deal with their personal performance challenges and development needs close to real-time through trusted advisors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality type evaluation</td>
<td>Personality type evaluation (such as the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator) helps individuals understand their strengths and weaknesses and how best to deal with others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s creation.
Introduction

While financial compensation is a vitally important component of the job for almost all employees, compensation alone provides limited motivation. The more powerful motivators are likely to be intrinsic rewards. Here is the difference between intrinsic rewards and extrinsic rewards:

- An extrinsic reward is often financial compensation, such as money, bonuses, special gifts, and other benefits.
- An intrinsic reward is a value the employee derives from the work itself, such as a sense of satisfaction from a job well done.

CAEs need to consider a combination of both intrinsic and extrinsic rewards for optimal motivation. CAEs especially need to recognize that the importance of these factors will change depending on generational, gender, and cultural drivers. The priorities will also be weighted higher at different stages of the auditor’s life, corresponding to external interests and family demands.

Intrinsic Rewards

Intrinsic rewards are “motivation that money can’t buy,” and they can take many forms (see exhibit 21). Remember to tailor the intrinsic rewards according to generations represented on staff. For example, Baby Boomers approaching retirement often place a higher value on flexible working conditions and opportunities to coach or mentor others as part of their transitioning to retirement. On the other hand, Millennials value opportunities for personal growth together with challenging and interesting work.

Exhibit 21 Examples of Intrinsic Rewards and Other Motivations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intrinsic Rewards and Other Motivations</th>
<th>Intrinsic Rewards and Other Motivations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual recognition in front of peers</td>
<td>Constructive feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team recognition in front of peers</td>
<td>Regular, open communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in decision-making</td>
<td>Training and certification support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater job discretion</td>
<td>Mentoring and coaching arrangements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenging and interesting work</td>
<td>Job security and workplace stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure/visibility in important meetings</td>
<td>Working conditions to provide work/life balance (such as flexible working arrangements)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Directors/senior managers and managers are more likely to have an opportunity for bonuses (78% and 75% respectively), compared to CAEs (66%). Staff are least likely (62%).

Bonuses are more prevalent in larger entities (78% for those with more than 10,000 employees).

Bonuses vary by region. The rates from highest to lowest are South Asia (82%), Middle East & North Africa (74%), East Asia & Pacific (74%), Sub-Saharan Africa (69%), North America (67%), Europe (64%), and Latin America & Caribbean (60%).

The survey also asked respondents to indicate what criteria their employers use to determine the bonus (Q34a, n = 7,922). The most common criteria are:

- Personal performance (78%)
- Company performance (74%)
- Completing the audit plan (36%)
- Achieving the budget (20%)

Public Sector Reliance on Intrinsic Rewards

As the results about bonuses indicate, the public sector has fewer financial resources to use to provide extrinsic rewards, such as bonuses, for internal auditors. Therefore, motivation of public sector internal auditors is more closely linked to the value they derive from their work (intrinsic rewards). For example, public sector internal auditors often place value on their influence for the public good in the overarching governance system of their respective jurisdictions and the opportunities for collaboration across public sector agencies.

Extrinsic Rewards

Bonuses are an extrinsic reward that is used widely in the internal audit profession, except in the public sector, according to survey results. The survey question asked: Do you have the opportunity to receive a bonus from your employer (Q34)? Responses indicate:

- A global average of 67% have the opportunity to receive a bonus from their employer.
- Bonuses are much less prevalent for public sector employers (40%) and not-for-profit organizations (54%).
Employee Engagement Model

The term employee engagement refers to the level of connection an employee feels toward the organization where he or she works. A highly engaged employee works more energetically and creatively and has more commitment to the organization and its goals. Engaged employees are also likely to stay and grow in the profession, inspiring younger people.

Strong employee engagement is fostered by a working environment that recognizes the needs and expectations of team members and gives appropriate intrinsic rewards. CAEs should build an employee engagement model using the following areas of engagement:

1. Communications
2. Leadership development
3. Organizational culture
4. Team building
5. Awards

Exhibit 22 gives a variety of activities that you can use in each of these areas to engage employees and provide intrinsic rewards. Your choice of activities should take into consideration the preferences of the different generations represented in your internal audit function. Employee engagement not only increases individual motivation, it also increases productivity of the internal audit function as a whole.

Conclusion

Money, bonuses, special gifts, and other benefits (extrinsic rewards) are important to have. But many professional internal auditors also look for meaning in their work (intrinsic rewards). Successful employee engagement strategies accommodate the needs and motivators across genders, cultures, and generations.
### Exhibit 22  Five Areas of Employee Engagement (with Examples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communications</th>
<th>Leadership Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Monthly CAE newsletter</td>
<td>● Alumni program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Active solicitation of internal auditor feedback (using “fireside conversations” or “CAE road shows”)</td>
<td>● Simple gestures like a personal message or phone call from CAE on special occasions like birthdays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Fireside conversations</td>
<td>● Conversations “across sites” led by CAE using video conferencing (if multi-site audit function)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CAE road shows</td>
<td>● Selective use of social media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Regular team meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Audit conferences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Culture</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Reinforce and model organizational values</td>
<td>● Demonstrate ongoing commitment to employee well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Promote and support the organization’s objectives and goals</td>
<td>● Apply equal opportunity practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Understand business drivers</td>
<td>● Annual internal auditor satisfaction surveys (linked to balanced scorecard reporting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Maintain a safe, orderly, and inspiring workplace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Building</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● A set day each month to wear casual clothing instead of business attire</td>
<td>● Social activities involving family members such as barbecues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Occasional recreational employee activities (out of work hours), such as “fancy dress” or a trivia quiz night</td>
<td>● Community outreach activities such as volunteering or charity fund-raising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Celebrate community events (see case 1 below)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awards</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Employees encouraged to nominate colleagues for organization awards</td>
<td>● Employee achievements acknowledged in CAE newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Major employee achievements acknowledged in organization’s newsletter and selectively on social media</td>
<td>● Personal recognition from the CAE (see case 2 below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Innovative ideas that enhance productivity are linked to a rewards program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cases**

Case 1: A Baby Boomer CAE in Australia encouraged his employees to celebrate Australia’s Harmony Day, which promotes the elimination of racial discrimination. To recognize the cultural diversity within the team, he arranged a team luncheon event where staff brought food from their country of birth. Because the national color for Harmony Day was orange, the CAE wore a bright orange suit, which was well out of his comfort zone. This “out-of-the-norm” effort by the CAE appealed to the sense of fun of the Generation X and Millennial employees and helped to further build team morale.

Case 2: The CAE awards a “medal” each year to an internal auditor to recognize innovation, teamwork, and continued professional development.

Source: Author’s creation.
The demands and expectations of the internal audit profession have continued to evolve over the last decade to produce internal audit teams with multidisciplinary skill sets, varied work experience, and different workforce characteristics like gender, multiculturalism, and generational differences.

Bringing these teams together and motivating them takes a willingness to learn, adapt, and try new things that might even be out of our comfort zones. The five strategies from *GREAT Ways to Motivate Your Staff* will help you along the way.

**Goal Setting**

Alignment between internal audit goals and your organization’s strategic objectives will not happen by accident. Take the initiative to develop your stakeholder relationships so that you know where your company is heading. Use this knowledge to develop your departmental strategy and then individual auditor goals.

**Retaining Talent**

You cannot ignore generational differences in your talent management strategy. Learn how to adapt your approach to the different priorities for Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and the upcoming Generation Z. Remember that more women are joining the profession, and their priorities will need to be considered.

**Equipping Employees**

If you are not building new competencies within your staff, you are probably falling behind. As you find competency gaps, fill them through the “buy, build, or retain” approach. Professional development not only helps the internal audit function as a whole, but it also leads to greater job satisfaction and motivation for individual auditors.

**Assessing Performance**

Younger generations are seeking more immediate performance feedback, so many CAEs have embraced a constant feedback and learning loop. Organizations may move toward outcomes-based work (rather than hours spent at the desk) for measuring performance. Align the contribution of individual internal auditors with departmental KPIs and balanced scorecard elements.

**Treating Success**

A suitable rewards system embraces extrinsic rewards (such as bonuses) and intrinsic rewards (such as recognition, more challenging work, and mentoring). There are also many creative (and fun!) activities that you can use to increase employee engagement through the areas of communication, leadership development, organizational culture, team building, and rewards. Use a variety of approaches to appeal to different generations.

**Conclusion**

For an inspiring list of ideas that you can use to motivate your staff, be sure to read *appendix A, “Motivation from A to Z.”* This list was created by asking CAEs from across the world to describe factors that have motivated them the most throughout their careers.

Also, for more detailed information about all of the topics covered in this report, see *appendix B, “Resources.”* This list contains a wide variety of materials, many of which are available for no charge to IIA members, or for a reasonable cost to nonmembers.

Being the leader of an internal audit function is both a challenge and a privilege. Your internal audit function and your organization as a whole will appreciate and benefit from all of the GREAT ways you have learned to motivate your staff.
Appendix A
Motivation from A to Z

CAEs from across the world were asked to describe the things that have motivated them to operate at an optimal performance level. These are great ideas to think about using to motivate your staff as well.

A. Autonomy in the decision-making process, with an ability to resolve difficult situations.

B. Being given continual challenges and opportunities to give new and diverse things a go; don’t be a “one-trick pony,” help by doing something else (charity, non-executive or advisory role, etc).

C. Commitment to a “can do” attitude was encouraged, underpinned by a sense of duty and commitment instilled by family.

D. Delivering more than expected for the position held.

E. Ethical, inclusive, technically strong leadership.

F. Fair recognition (according to my development and delivery); being treated fairly and adequately rewarded; with potential for future advancement and recognition.

G. “Green-field” situations. (I love starting with a blank sheet of paper.)

H. Having great responsibilities and delivering them consistently; a fair day’s work for a fair day’s pay.

I. Informal leadership was encouraged. (I never waited to be a formal leader to help younger and less experienced professionals, both in technical activities and in their career development.)

J. Joy in the work I do, the people I help to develop, and the contribution I make to my company; enjoyment in achieving accomplishments.

K. Knowledge and experience translated into new situations, gaining experience across business types and in different functions; also successful auditor outplacements into leadership roles.

L. Learning opportunities. (In each new project I always asked myself, “What can I learn? What professionals will I deal with? What new techniques will I need to develop?”)

M. Mentors in my early career who supported and encouraged and challenged me.

N. “Never letting a chance go by” (taking on challenges that others may be hesitant to do).

O. Operating in a growing/­changing/fluid environment.

P. Positive reinforcement from superiors, peers, external stakeholders, and the team; coupled with personal pride in doing a good job.

Q. Quality and improvement focus; encouragement for innovative ideas; celebration for comprehensive and effective implementation of audit recommendations.

R. Reasonable tolerance for risk-taking, but being held accountable for outcomes.

S. Situations that stretched my abilities, and doing a job that has a wider benefit to society.

T. Trust by my leaders in my ability to deliver, and recognition by highest level in organization.

U. Undertaking new challenges, which I initially did not know how to do that boosted my career.

V. Valuing each employee on their team (powerful leadership learning).

W. “Working together in excellence” was a theme I adopted early in my career (the theme captures a strong work ethic, teamwork, and optimal delivery).

X. Xenogamy (which means cross-fertilization)—working with diverse multidisciplinary skillsets.

Y. You limit your ability to grow if you avoid challenging assignments; solving complex problems.

Z. Zero tolerance for bullying and harassment in the workplace.

Note: This list is based on responses to the following interview question: Throughout your career, what provided you with the greatest motivation to operate at an optimal performance level?
Appendix B

Resources

**Goal Setting**


**Retaining Talent**


**Equipping Employees**

Internal Audit Capability Model (IA-CM) for the Public Sector (Altamonte Springs, FL: The Institute of Internal Auditors Research Foundation, 2009).


Culture and the Role of Internal Audit: Looking Below the Surface (Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors, UK and Ireland, July 2014).

**Assessing Performance**


**Treating Success**
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